PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference A30416 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/GB2004/005419	International filing date (day/month/year) 31 December 2004 (31.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 January 2004 (15.01.2004)				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY						

	1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
	2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
	3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
١		Box No. I	Basis of the report				
		Box No. II	Priority				
		Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
l		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
		Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
l		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
		Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
I		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
	4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).					
			`	Date of issuance of this report 17 July 2006 (17.07.2006)			
	The International Bureau of WIPO			Authorized officer			
١	34, chemin des Colombettes			Dorothée Mülhausen			

e-mail: pt01@wipo.int

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

om the TERNATIONAL SEA Fo:	RCHING AUTHO	DRITY	REC'D 21 MAR 2	2005 	\ \$ T	
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	o form PCT/	ISA/210 (second sheet)	
Applicant's or agent's fil see form PCT/ISA/			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 belo	w		
International application No. International filing data PCT/GB2004/005419 31.12.2004				Priority date (day/month/year) 15.01.2004		
International Patent Cla G06T11/00	ssification (IPC) or	both national classification a	and IPC			
Applicant BRITISH TELECO	MMUNICATION	NS PUBLIC LIMITED (COMPANY			
1. This opinion		ions relating to the follo	owing items:			
Box No. I	Basis of the o	pinion				
☐ Box No. II	Priority		11. 11. 1	atan an	ed industrial applicability	
☐ Box No. III		ment of opinion with rega	ira to noveity, inventi	ve step an	io modernar approacting	
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention	44 343	novoltv i	eventive step or industrial	
⊠ Box No. V	applicability;	citations and explanations	s supporting such sta	tement	nventive step or industrial	
□ Box No. VI						
☐ Box No. VI		ts in the international app				
☐ Box No. Vì	II Certain obse	vations on the internation	nal application			
2. FURTHER AC						
written opinlor the applicant of International E will not be so	n of the Internation chooses an Autho Bureau under Rul considered.	onty other than this one to e 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written o	be the IPEA and the opinions of this Intern	e chosen l ational Se	PEA has notifed the arching Authority	
	IPEA a written re the date of mailin	bove, considered to be a ply together, where appro g of Form PCT/ISA/220 o			 applicant is invited to are the expiration of three onths from the priority date, 	
For further op	tions, see Form	PCT/ISA/220.				
3. For further de	tails, see notes t	o Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing ad	dress of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		apri friance.	



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Engels, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2042



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005419

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	la	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type	of material:			
		a sequence listing			
		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	nat of material:				
		in written format			
		in computer readable form			
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.			
4	. Additi	onal comments:			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-8,12-18

No: Claims

1,9,10,11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

2-8,12-18

No: Claims

1,9,10,11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

٧

- 1. Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: FUJIWARA T., TOMINAGA M., MURAKAMI K., KOSHIMIZU H.: "Web-PICASSO: Internet Implementation of Facial Caricature System PICASSO" LECTURE NOTES IN COMPUTER SCIENCE, vol. 1948, 2000, pages 151-159, XP002319343
- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of **independent claims 1, 9, 10 and 11** is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The discussion will be limited to claim 1, since claim 11 defines the same features using the same terminology as claim 1, claim 9 is defined by direct reference to claim 1 and claim 10, in turn, is defined by direct reference to claim 9.

Claim 1:

D1 may be read to claim 1 since it discloses

- a method of generating a caricatured image: see abstract;
- ii. storing image representations of subjects and corresponding respective caricatured image representations of the subjects: Web-Picasso stores a database of image representations and at least temporarily for a chosen representation a corresponding respective caricatured image representation (see Fig. 7);
- iii. receiving an image representation of a new subject: visitors of the Web-Picasso page may provide their own face for caricaturing (see chapter 3.2);
- iv. generating a caricatured image representation of the new subject in dependence on the stored image representations of the subjects and the received image representation of the new subject: when caricaturing any face, e.g. a visitor's newly entered own face, a caricatured image representation of the face is calculated by amplifying the difference between the face image and a mean face image (see Equation (1)). This mean face image is iteratively improved by changing the set of face samples from which it is calculated and

٣

which is selected from a database of stored image representations (see chapter 4.1).

Hence, claim 1 is not new.

The subject-matter of independent claims 2, 6, 12 and 16 is new in the sense of 3. Article 33(2) PCT and involves an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The discussion will be limited to method claims 2 and 6, since system claims 12 and 16 define the same features using the same terminology.

Claim 2:

D1 as closest prior art discloses adapting the selection of faces forming a mean face image towards a new image that is to be caricatured, i.e. it discloses generating a caricature of a new image in dependence on a database of stored image representations of subjects and in dependence on the new image. However, D1 does not disclose generating replacement caricatures for the stored image representations of subjects in the database after adaptation of the mean face image, i.e. it does not disclose generating replacement caricatured image representations of the subjects in dependence on the stored image representations thereof and the received image representation of the new subject. This difference between D1 and the subject-matter of claim 2 is due to different problems to be solved. While the problem solved in D1 is to maximally distinguish each caricature from its corresponding original image, the problem solved by claim 2 is to maximally distinguish all the caricatures from each other. Since the solution to this problem as presented in claim 2 is neither disclosed nor rendered obvious in any of the prior art documents of the International Search Report, claim 2 is considered to be new and inventive.

Claim 6:

D1 as closest prior art does not disclose removing image representations of subjects from the database. Thus, D1 does not disclose generating replacement caricatures in dependence on the stored image representations of remaining subjects at least partially discounting the image representations of subjects indicated to leave. The problem solved by claim 6 is to maximally distinguish all the caricatures from

each other.

Since the solution to this problem as presented in claim 6 is neither disclosed nor rendered obvious in any of the prior art documents of the International Search Report, claim 6 is considered to be new and inventive.

 The dependent claims are considered to meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Further Remarks:

- In claim 6 it is not made clear that replacement caricatures are only generated for the subjects not indicated, i.e. for the subjects who are to remain (see page 15, line 20) (Article 6 PCT).
- 2. The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 3. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 4. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
